arXiv:1701.06858v1 [math.CV] 24 Jan 2017

On the multipliers of repelling periodic points of entire functions

Walter Bergweiler and Dan Liu*

Abstract

We give a lower bound for the multipliers of repelling periodic points of entire functions. The bound is deduced from a bound for the multipliers of fixed points of composite entire functions.

Keywords: Transcendental entire function, repelling fixed point, repelling periodic point, multiplier.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 37F10; Secondary 30D05.

1 Introduction and results

Let f be an entire function. The iterates f^n are defined by $f^0(z) = z$ and $f^n(z) = f(f^{n-1}(z))$ for $n \geq 1$. A point $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ is called a *periodic point* of f if $f^n(\xi) = \xi$ for some $n \geq 1$. The smallest n with this property is the *period* of ξ. A periodic point of period 1 is called a *fixed point*. Let ξ be a periodic point of period n of f. Then $(f^n)'(\xi)$ is called the *multiplier* of ξ and the point ξ is called *repelling* if $|(f^n)'(\xi)| > 1$.

The periodic points play an important role in complex dynamics; for an introduction to complex dynamics we refer to [23, 30] for rational and [7, 28] for entire functions. For example, the Julia set of a rational or entire function, which is defined as the set where the iterates fail to be normal, is the closure of the set of repelling periodic points. For rational function this was proved by both Fatou and Julia (see [23, §14] for an exposition of both proofs), for entire functions this result is due to Baker [2]. Before Baker's result it was

*Supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No.11371149).

not even known whether a transcendental entire function must have repelling periodic points at all. In turns out [5, Theorem 1] that a transcendental entire function f even has repelling periodic points of period n for every $n \geq 2$. In fact, for $n \geq 2$ there even exists a sequence (ξ_k) of repelling periodic points of period n such that $|(f^n)'(\xi_k)| \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$; see [9, Theorem 1.2]. In this paper we will give a lower bound for $|(f^n)'(\xi_k)|$ in terms of the maximum modulus

$$M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$$

of f, under a suitable additional hypothesis.

The Eremenko-Lyubich class $\mathcal{B}$ consists of all transcendental entire functions f for which the set of critical and asymptotic values is bounded. It was introduced in [19] and plays an important role in transcendental dynamics; see [28, §3]. For this class a lower bound for the multipliers of fixed points was given by Langley and Zheng [22, Theorem 2] who proved that there is a positive constant c such that if $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then there exists a sequence (ξ_k) of fixed points of f tending to ∞ such that

$$|f'(\xi_k)| > c \log M(\alpha|\xi_k|, f).$$

Of course, this result does not hold outside the class $\mathcal{B}$, since an entire function need not have any fixed points at all.

Noting that if $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $n \geq 1$, then $f^n \in \mathcal{B}$, we see that there also is a sequence (ξ_k) of fixed points of f^n such that

$$|(f^n)'(\xi_k)| > c \log M(\alpha|\xi_k|, f^n).$$

It is reasonable to conjecture that for $n \geq 2$ a result of this type also holds outside the class $\mathcal{B}$. We shall show that this is the case under an additional hypothesis involving the minimum modulus

$$m(r, f) = \min_{|z|=r} |f(z)|.$$

More precisely, we shall require that there exist positive constants a and b with $b > 1$ such that, for large r,

$$\text{there exists } \rho \in (r, br) \text{ such that } m(\rho, f) \leq a. \tag{1.1}$$

This condition occurs in work of Rippon and Stallard on slow escaping points of entire functions [27, Theorem 2]. For example, it is clearly satisfied if f is bounded on a curve tending to ∞. Functions in $\mathcal{B}$ satisfy the latter condition.

We note that it follows from Harnack's inequality that the existence of positive constants a and b satisfying (1.1) is equivalent to the existence of, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, a constant $c > 1$ such that, for large r,

$$\text{there exists } \rho \in (r, cr) \text{ such that } \log m(\rho, f) \leq (1-\varepsilon) \log M(\rho, f); \quad (1.2)$$

see the remark after Lemma 2.5 below.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be a transcendental entire function satisfying* (1.1) *and $n \geq 2$. Then there exists a positive constant c and a sequence (ξ_k) of periodic points of period n tending to ∞ as $k \to \infty$ such that*

$$|(f^n)'(\xi_k)| > c \log M((1-o(1))|\xi_k|, f^n). \quad (1.3)$$

We note that the bound given in (1.3) is of the right order of magnitude. Indeed, if $f(z) = e^z$ and $f^n(\xi) = \xi$, then

$$(f^n)'(\xi) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} f^j(\xi) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f^j(\xi)$$

and hence

$$|(f^n)'(\xi)| \leq \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f^j(|\xi|) = f^{n-1}((1+o(1))|\xi|) = \log M((1+o(1))|\xi|, f^n)$$

as $|\xi| \to \infty$.

Theorem 1.1 follows from a result concerning composite entire functions. It was shown in [5, Theorem 3] that if f and g are transcendental entire functions, then $f \circ g$ has infinitely many repelling fixed points. Here we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let f and g be transcendental entire functions, with f satisfying condition* (1.1). *Then there exists positive constants α and β such that $f \circ g$ has a sequence (ξ_k) of fixed points tending to ∞ and satisfying*

$$|(f \circ g)'(\xi_k)| \geq \alpha \log M(\beta M(|\xi_k|, g), f) \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$|g(\xi_k)| \geq (1-o(1)) M(|\xi_k|, g). \quad (1.5)$$

In our proofs we will use in part the ideas developed in [5], with main tools coming from the Wiman-Valiron theory and the Ahlfors theory of covering surfaces. In addition, we use an estimate of the spherical derivative based on a method from [17, Theorem 5.2].

2 Preliminary lemmas

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$, let $D(a, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}\colon |z - a| < r\}$ be the disk of radius r around a and, for $R > r > 0$, let $\operatorname{ann}(r, R) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}\colon r < |z| < R\}$ be the annulus centered at 0 with radii r and R.

We begin by summarizing some results of Wiman-Valiron theory. Let

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

be a transcendental entire function. Then

$$\mu(r, g) := \max_{k \geq 0} |a_k| r^k \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(r, g) := \max\{k\colon \mu(r, g) = |a_k| r^k\}$$

are called the *maximum term* and the *central index*, respectively. Note that $\mu(r, g) \leq M(r, g)$ by Cauchy's inequality.

The Wiman-Valiron theory describes the behavior of an entire function g near points of maximum modulus; that is, near points z_0 for which $|g(z_0)| = M(|z_0|, g)$. Essentially, it says that near such points, and for $r = |z_0|$ outside an exceptional set of finite logarithmic measure, the function behaves like a polynomial of degree $\nu(r, g)$. Here a subset F of $[1, \infty)$ is said to have finite logarithmic measure if

$$\int_F \frac{dr}{r} < \infty.$$

One consequence of the theory is that for each $C > 0$ there exists $K > 0$ such that $g(D(z_0, Kr/\nu(r, g))) \supset \operatorname{ann}(|g(z_0)|/C, C|g(z_0)|)$, if z_0 is a point of maximum modulus and $|z_0| = r \notin F$ is sufficiently large.

The following lemma collects some of the main results of Wiman-Valiron theory; see [21, 31]. An alternative approach to results of this type is given in [12], with the central index $\nu(r, g)$ replaced by $d \log M(r, f)/d \log r$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let g be a transcendental entire function and let K be a positive constant. Then there exists a set F of finite logarithmic measure such that if $|z_0| = r \notin F$, $|g(z_0)| = M(r, g)$ and $|\tau| \leq K/\nu(r, g)$, then*

$$g(z_0 e^{\tau}) \sim g(z_0) e^{\nu(r,g)\tau}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$|g(z_0 e^{\tau})| \sim M(|z_0 e^{\tau}|, g) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$g'(z_0e^\tau) \sim \frac{\nu(r,g)}{z_0e^\tau} g(z_0e^\tau) \tag{2.3}$$

as $r \to \infty$. *Moreover,*

$$\log \mu(r,g) \sim \log M(r,g) \tag{2.4}$$

as $r \to \infty$, $r \notin F$, *and, given* $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\nu(r,g) \leq (\log \mu(r,g))^{1+\varepsilon} \tag{2.5}$$

for large $r \notin F$.

The following two lemmas are consequences of Lemma 2.1 and Rouché's Theorem; see [3, Lemma 2] and [4, Lemma 3] for the proofs. In both lemmas F is the exceptional set arising from Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* g *be a transcendental entire function,* $0 < \varepsilon < 2\pi$ *and* $K > 0$. *Suppose that* $|z_0| = r$, $|g(z_0)| = M(r,g)$ *and* $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $|\sigma| \leq K$. *Then, if* $r \notin F$ *is large enough, there exists a unique* $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $|\nu(r,g)\tau - \sigma| < \varepsilon$ *and* $g(z_0e^\tau) = g(z_0)e^\sigma$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* g *be a transcendental entire function,* $K > 0$ *and* $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Suppose that* $|z_0| = r$ *and* $|g(z_0)| = M(r,g)$. *Then, if* $r \notin F$ *is large enough, there exists a holomorphic function* $\tau_j \colon D(z_0, Kr/\nu(r,g)) \to \mathbb{C}$ *which satisfies*

$$g(ze^{\tau_j(z)}) = g(z), \tag{2.6}$$

and, as $r \to \infty$,

$$|\tau_j(z)\nu(r,g) - 2\pi ij| \to 0 \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dz}(ze^{\tau_j(z)}) \to 1. \tag{2.8}$$

The following result is known as Harnack's inequality; see [26, Theorem 1.3.1].

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $v \colon D(a,r) \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a positive harmonic function,* $0 < \rho < 1$ *and* $z \in D(a,r)$ *with* $|z-a| \leq \rho r$. *Then*

$$\frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} \leq \frac{v(z)}{v(a)} \leq \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}.$$

A simple consequence of Harnack's inequality is the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $R > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $u\colon \operatorname{ann}(e^{-2\pi/\varepsilon}R, e^{2\pi/\varepsilon}R) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive harmonic function. Then*

$$\min_{|z|=R} u(z) > (1-\varepsilon) \max_{|z|=R} u(z).$$

Proof. Choose z_1 and z_2 with $|z_1| = |z_2| = R$ such that

$$u(z_1) = \max_{|z|=R} u(z) \quad \text{and} \quad u(z_2) = \min_{|z|=R} u(z).$$

Consider the strip

$$S = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\colon \log R - \frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon} < \operatorname{Re}\zeta < \log R + \frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon} \right\}$$

and define

$$v\colon S \to \mathbb{R}, \quad v(\zeta) = u(e^{\zeta}).$$

There exists ζ_1 and ζ_2 with $\operatorname{Re}\zeta_1 = \operatorname{Re}\zeta_2 = \log R$ such that $z_1 = \exp\zeta_1$, $z_2 = \exp\zeta_2$ and $|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \leq \pi$. It now follows from Lemma 2.4 with $a = \zeta_1$, $z = \zeta_2$, $r = 2\pi/\varepsilon$ and $\rho = \varepsilon/2$ that

$$\frac{u(z_2)}{u(z_1)} = \frac{v(\zeta_2)}{v(\zeta_1)} \geq \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} > 1 - 2\rho = 1 - \varepsilon,$$

from which the conclusion follows by the definition of z_1 and z_2. □

Remark. Let f be an entire function satisfying (1.2); that is, for large r there exists $\rho \in (r, cr)$ such that $\log m(\rho, h) \leq (1-\varepsilon)\log M(\rho, h)$. Applying Lemma 2.5 to $u = \log|f|$ we see that u cannot be positive in the annulus $\operatorname{ann}(e^{-2\pi/\varepsilon}\rho, e^{2\pi/\varepsilon}\rho)$. Thus there exists

$$s \in (e^{-2\pi/\varepsilon}\rho, e^{2\pi/\varepsilon}\rho) \subset (e^{-2\pi/\varepsilon}r, e^{2\pi/\varepsilon}cr)$$

with $m(s, f) \leq 1$. Hence (1.1) holds with $b = e^{4\pi/\varepsilon}c$. This shows that the conditions (1.1) and (1.2) are indeed equivalent, as noted in the introduction.

Pommerenke [25, Theorem 4] showed that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that if f is a transcendental entire function, then there exists a sequence (z_k) tending to ∞ such that

$$|f(z_k)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |f'(z_k)| \geq C\frac{\log M(|z_k|, f)}{|z_k|}.$$

We shall need the additional information that given a sequence (w_k) satisfying $|f(w_k)| \leq 1$ for all k, the sequence (z_k) can be chosen such that $|z_k|$ and $|w_k|$ are of the same order of magnitude. This could be deduced from Pommerenke's method, but for completeness we include the following lemma whose proof is based on a different method which was also used in [17, 11, 13].

Lemma 2.6. *Let $R > 0$ and $h\colon \operatorname{ann}(e^{-\pi}R, e^{\pi}R) \to \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic. Suppose that*

$$m(R,h) \leq 1 < M(R,h).$$

Then there exists $z_0 \in \operatorname{ann}(e^{-\pi}R, e^{\pi}R)$ such that

$$|h(z_0)| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |h'(z_0)| \geq \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\log M(e^{-\pi}|z_0|, h)}{|z_0|}.$$

Proof. We proceed similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.5 and choose z_1 and z_2 with $|z_1| = |z_2| = R$ such that $|h(z_1)| = M(R,h)$ and $|h(z_2)| = m(R,h)$. With $S = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}\colon \log R - \pi < \operatorname{Re}\zeta < \log R + \pi\}$ we define $f\colon S \to \mathbb{C}$, $f(\zeta) = h(e^{\zeta})$. There exists ζ_1 and ζ_2 with $\operatorname{Re}\zeta_1 = \operatorname{Re}\zeta_2 = \log R$ such that $z_1 = \exp\zeta_1$, $z_2 = \exp\zeta_2$ and $|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \leq \pi$.

Let now $G = \{\zeta \in S\colon |f(\zeta)| > 1\}$ and $r = \max\{t\colon D(\zeta_1, t) \subset G\}$. Then $0 < r \leq |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \leq \pi$ and there exists $\zeta_0 \in \partial G \cap S$ with $|f(\zeta_0)| = 1$. For $0 < s < 1$ we put $\zeta_s = (1-s)\zeta_0 + s\zeta_1$ so that $|\zeta_s - \zeta_0| = s|\zeta_1 - \zeta_0| = sr$. By the definition of r, the function $v\colon D(\zeta_1, r) \to \mathbb{R}$, $v(\zeta) = \log|f(\zeta)|$, is a positive harmonic function. Lemma 2.4 (that is, Harnack's inequality), applied with $a = \zeta_1$, $z = \zeta_s$ and $\rho = |\zeta_s - \zeta_1|/r = 1 - s$, now yields that

$$\frac{v(\zeta_s)}{v(\zeta_1)} \geq \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} > \frac{1-\rho}{2} = \frac{s}{2}$$

and hence

$$\frac{v(\zeta_s) - v(\zeta_0)}{|\zeta_s - \zeta_0|} = \frac{v(\zeta_s)}{sr} > \frac{v(\zeta_1)}{2r} \geq \frac{v(\zeta_1)}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\log|f(\zeta_1)| = \frac{1}{2\pi}\log M(R,h).$$

Thus

$$|f'(\zeta_0)| = \frac{|f'(\zeta_0)|}{|f(\zeta_0)|} = |\nabla v(\zeta_0)| \geq \lim_{s\to 0}\frac{v(\zeta_s) - v(\zeta_0)}{|\zeta_s - \zeta_0|} \geq \frac{1}{2\pi}\log M(R,h).$$

With $z_0 = \exp \zeta_0$ we thus have $|h(z_0)| = |f(\zeta_0)| = 1$ and

$$|h'(z_0)| = \frac{|f'(\zeta_0)|}{|z_0|} \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\log M(R,h)}{|z_0|},$$

which yields the conclusion since $|z_0| \leq e^\pi R$. □

The following lemma follows easily from Rouché's theorem and Schwarz's lemma; see [9, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2.7. *Let $0 < \delta < \varepsilon/2$ and let $U \subset D(a,\delta)$ be a simply-connected domain. Let $f\colon U \to D(a,\varepsilon)$ be holomorphic and bijective. Then f has a fixed point ξ in U which satisfies $|f'(\xi)| \geq \varepsilon/4\delta$.*

To achieve a situation where Lemma 2.7 can be applied to we use the Ahlfors theory of covering surfaces; see [1], [20, Chapter 5] or [24, Chapter XIII] for an account of the Ahlfors theory. A key result of the Ahlfors theory is the following; see also [8] for another proof of this result. Here $f^\# := |f'|/(1+|f|^2)$ denotes the spherical derivative.

Lemma 2.8. *Let D_1, D_2 and D_3 be three Jordan domains in $\mathbb{C}$ with pairwise disjoint closures. Then there exists a positive constant A depending only on these domains such that if $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $\rho > 0$ and $f\colon D(a,\rho) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function with the property that no subdomain of $D(a,\rho)$ is mapped conformally onto one of the domains D_j, then $\rho f^\#(a) \leq A$.*

We mention that the result also holds for meromorphic functions if we take five Jordan domains D_j instead of three domains. This result is known as the Ahlfors five islands theorem.

3 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let F be the exceptional set arising from Wiman-Valiron theory as in Lemmas 2.1–2.3. For $r \notin F$ sufficiently large we choose z_0 with $|z_0| = r$ and $R := |g(z_0)| = M(r,g)$. We define

$$H(w) = \frac{\nu(r,g)}{z_0}(f(w) - z_0).$$

By our hypothesis (1.1) there exists $w_1 \in \operatorname{ann}(R, bR)$ satisfying $|f(w_1)| \leq a$. It follows that

$$|H(w_1)| \leq \frac{\nu(r,g)}{r}(a+r) < 2\nu(r,g) \tag{3.1}$$

for large r. From (2.4) and (2.5) we can easily deduce that

$$\log \nu(r,g) = o(\log M(r,g)) \tag{3.2}$$

as $r \to \infty$, $r \notin F$. Noting that $|w_1| > R = M(r,g)$ we conclude from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\log |H(w_1)| = o(\log M(r,g)) = o(\log |w_1|) \tag{3.3}$$

as $r \to \infty$, $r \notin F$. We choose v_1 with $|v_1| = |w_1|$ and $|f(v_1)| = M(|w_1|, f)$. Noting that $M(|w_1|, f) \geq |w_1| \geq M(r,g) \geq 2r$ for large r, we find that

$$|H(v_1)| = \frac{\nu(r,g)}{r}|f(v_1) - z_0| \geq \frac{\nu(r,g)}{r}(M(|w_1|, f) - r) \geq \frac{\nu(r,g)}{2r} M(|w_1|, f).$$

Together with (3.2) this implies that

$$\log |H(v_1)| \geq (1 - o(1)) \log M(|w_1|, f) \tag{3.4}$$

as $r \to \infty$, $r \notin F$. Since f is transcendental, we have

$$\frac{\log M(t,f)}{\log t} \to \infty$$

as $t \to \infty$. Thus (3.3) and (3.4) yield that $\log |H(v_1)| > 2 \log |H(w_1)|$ for large $r \notin F$. Applying Lemma 2.5 with $\varepsilon = 1/2$ we deduce that $\log |H|$ cannot be a positive harmonic function in the annulus $\operatorname{ann}(e^{-4\pi}|w_1|, e^{4\pi}|w_1|)$. Hence $m(s, H) \leq 1$ for some $s \in (e^{-4\pi}|w_1|, e^{4\pi}|w_1|) \subset (e^{-4\pi}R, e^{4\pi}bR)$.

Lemma 2.6 implies that there exists

$$w_0 \in \operatorname{ann}(e^{-\pi}s, e^{\pi}s) \subset \operatorname{ann}(e^{-5\pi}R, e^{5\pi}bR) \tag{3.5}$$

such that

$$|H(w_0)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |H'(w_0)| \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\log M(e^{-\pi}|w_0|, H)}{|w_0|}. \tag{3.6}$$

Using (3.2) we easily see that

$$\log M(e^{-\pi}|w_0|, H) \sim \log M(e^{-\pi}|w_0|, f)$$

so that (3.6) yields

$$\frac{\nu(r,g)}{r}|f'(w_0)| = |H'(w_0)| \geq (1-o(1))\frac{\log M(e^{-\pi}|w_0|,f)}{2\pi|w_0|}. \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.5) we have $w_0 = e^{\sigma}g(z_0)$ for some σ satisfying $|\operatorname{Re}\sigma| \leq 5\pi + \log b$ and $|\operatorname{Im}\sigma| \leq \pi$. Lemma 2.2 implies that for large $r \notin F$ there exists τ satisfying

$$|\tau| \leq \frac{6\pi + \log b}{\nu(r,g)} \tag{3.8}$$

and $g(z_0e^{\tau}) = w_0$.

Put $u_0 = z_0e^{\tau}$ so that $g(u_0) = w_0$. Note that by (3.8) we have $\tau \to 0$ and thus $u_0 \sim z_0$ and $|u_0| \sim r$ as $r \to \infty$.

We will apply Lemma 2.8 to the function $G\colon D(u_0,\rho) \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$G(z) = \frac{\nu(r,g)}{u_0}(f(g(z)) - u_0),$$

and the domains $D_j = D(2\pi ij, 2)$, with a value of ρ still to be determined.

In order to do so, we have to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\#}(u_0) &= \frac{|G'(u_0)|}{1+|G(u_0)|^2} \\ &= \frac{\nu(r,g)}{|u_0|}\frac{|f'(w_0)|\cdot|g'(u_0)|}{1+|G(u_0)|^2} \\ &= (1+o(1))\frac{\nu(r,g)}{r}|f'(w_0)|\cdot|g'(u_0)|\cdot\frac{1}{1+|G(u_0)|^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The first factor on the right hand side has already been estimated in (3.7). The second one can be estimated by (2.3) which yields

$$|g'(u_0)| \sim \left|\frac{\nu(r,g)}{u_0}g(u_0)\right| \sim \frac{\nu(r,g)}{r}|g(u_0)| = \frac{\nu(r,g)}{r}|w_0|. \tag{3.10}$$

In order to estimate the third factor on the right hand side of (3.9) we note that

$$|u_0 - z_0| = r|e^{\tau}-1| = (1+o(1))r|\tau| \leq (1+o(1))\frac{(6\pi+\log b)r}{\nu(r,g)}$$

as $r \to \infty$, $r \notin F$, by (3.8). Since

$$G(z) = \frac{z_0}{u_0} H(g(z)) - \frac{\nu(r,g)}{u_0}(u_0 - z_0)$$

we conclude, noting that $|H(w_0)| \leq 1$ and $|u_0| \sim |z_0| = r$, that

$$|G(u_0)| = \left| \frac{z_0}{u_0} H(w_0) - \frac{\nu(r,g)}{u_0}(u_0 - z_0) \right| \leq 1 + 6\pi + \log b + o(1). \qquad (3.11)$$

Combining (3.9) with (3.7), (3.10) and (3.11) we find that

$$G^{\#}(u_0) \geq (1 - o(1)) \frac{\log M(e^{-\pi}|w_0|, f)}{2\pi |w_0|} \cdot \frac{\nu(r,g)|w_0|}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + (1 + 6\pi + \log b)^2}.$$

Choosing η with $0 < \eta < 1/(2\pi(1 + (1 + 6\pi + \log b)^2))$ and putting $\gamma = e^{-\pi}$ we thus have

$$G^{\#}(u_0) > \eta \frac{\nu(r,g)}{r} \log M(\gamma |w_0|, f)$$

for large $r \notin F$.

We now put

$$\rho = \frac{Ar}{\eta\, \nu(r,g) \log M(\gamma |w_0|, f)},$$

and deduce from Lemma 2.8 that there exist $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and a domain U contained in $D(u_0, \rho)$ such that G maps U conformally onto D_j. It follows that $f \circ g$ maps U conformally onto $D(u_0(1 + 2\pi i j/\nu(r,g)), 2|u_0|/\nu(r,g))$.

We choose τ_j according to Lemma 2.3, for some fixed large constant K, and define

$$\phi \colon D\left(u_0, \frac{Kr}{\nu(r,g)}\right) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \phi(z) = z e^{\tau_j(z)}.$$

Put $u_j = \phi(u_0)$. Then

$$u_j = u_0 e^{\tau_j(u_0)} = u_0 \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i j + o(1)}{\nu(r,g)}\right) = u_0 \left(1 + \frac{2\pi i j + o(1)}{\nu(r,g)}\right) \qquad (3.12)$$

by (2.7). Thus

$$D\left(u_0 \left(1 + \frac{2\pi i j}{\nu(r,g)}\right), \frac{2|u_0|}{\nu(r,g)}\right) \supset D\left(u_j, \frac{r}{\nu(r,g)}\right)$$

for large r. It follows that U contains a subdomain V which is mapped conformally onto $D(u_j, r/\nu(r,g))$ by $f \circ g$.

By (2.6) we have $g(z) = g(\phi(z))$ and (2.8) implies that ϕ is univalent. Putting $W = \phi(V)$ we see that $f \circ g$ maps W also conformally onto $D(u_j, r/\nu(r,g))$. Moreover, we deduce from (2.8) that

$$W = \phi(V) \subset \phi(U) \subset \phi(D(u_0, \rho)) \subset D(u_j, 2\rho).$$

We can now apply Lemma 2.7 with $\delta = 2\rho$ and $\varepsilon = r/\nu(r,g)$. Note that the hypothesis $\delta < \varepsilon/2$ is clearly satisfied for large r since

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} = \frac{\eta}{2A} \log M(\gamma|w_0|, f) \to \infty$$

as $r \to \infty$. Lemma 2.7 now yields that W contains a fixed point ξ of $f \circ g$ satisfying

$$|(f \circ g)'(\xi)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4\delta} = \frac{\eta}{8A} \log M(\gamma|w_0|, f). \tag{3.13}$$

It follows from (3.8), (3.12) and the definition of ρ that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= u_j \exp\left(\frac{o(1)}{\nu(r,g)}\right) \\ &= u_0 \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i j + o(1)}{\nu(r,g)}\right) \\ &= z_0 \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i j + \tau + o(1)}{\nu(r,g)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Thus we deduce from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$M(|\xi|, g) \sim |g(\xi)| \sim \left|e^{2\pi i j + \tau} g(z_0)\right| = e^{\operatorname{Re}\tau}|w_0|$$

and thus, by (3.8) and (3.14),

$$M(|\xi|, g) \leq (1 + o(1)) e^{6\pi + \log b}|w_0|. \tag{3.15}$$

Choosing $\alpha = \eta/(8A)$ and β with $0 < \beta < e^{-6\pi - \log b}\gamma = e^{-7\pi - \log b}$ we can now deduce from (3.13) and (3.15) that

$$|(f \circ g)'(\xi)| \geq \alpha \log M(\beta M(|\xi|, g), f).$$

Since $|\xi|$ can be chosen arbitrarily large by taking r large, the conclusion follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We apply Theorem 1.2 with $g = f^{n-1}$ and obtain a sequence (ξ_k) of fixed points of $f \circ g = f^n$ satisfying (1.4) and (1.5); that is,

$$|(f^n)'(\xi_k)| \geq \alpha \log M(\beta M(|\xi_k|, f^{n-1}), f) \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$|f^{n-1}(\xi_k)| \geq (1 - o(1))M(|\xi_k|, f^{n-1}(\xi_k)). \tag{3.17}$$

It follows easily from (3.17) that if $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ and k is sufficiently large, then ξ_k is not a fixed point of f^m. Thus ξ_k is a periodic point of period n for large k.

Since f and hence f^{n-1} are transcendental, it follows that if $K > 1$, then

$$\frac{M(Kr, f^{n-1})}{M(r, f^{n-1})} \to \infty$$

as $r \to \infty$. This implies that $\beta M(r, f^{n-1}) = M((1 - \varepsilon(r))r, f^{n-1})$ where $\varepsilon(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$. Together with the maximum principle this yields that

$$M(\beta M(r, f^{n-1}), f) = M(M((1 - \varepsilon(r))r, f^{n-1}), f) \geq M((1 - \varepsilon(r))r, f^n).$$

Combining this with (3.16) we obtain the conclusion. □

4 Remarks and open questions

Let f be a transcendental entire function that does not satisfy the condition (1.1) imposed in our results. Using that this condition is equivalent to (1.2) we can deduce that there exists a sequence (R_k) tending to ∞ and a sequence (G_k) of Jordan domains satisfying $0 \in G_k \subset D(0, R_k)$ such that $f\colon G_k \to D(0, R_k)$ is a proper map for all k. In the terminology of Douady and Hubbard [16], the map $f\colon G_k \to D(0, R_k)$ is a polynomial-like map. As indicated by the name, such functions behave like polynomials in some sense, and this was the underlying idea in the proof in [5] that f^n has infinitely many repelling periodic points of any period $n \geq 2$ in this case, and that $f \circ g$ has infinitely many repelling fixed points for any transcendental entire function g.

While not phrased this way in [5], the essential tool from complex dynamics that was used is the following proposition. Here we denote by $\deg(p)$ the degree and by $\mathrm{cv}(p)$ the number of critical values of a polynomial p; that is, the cardinality of the set $p((p')^{-1}(0))$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let p be a polynomial satisfying $\deg(p) > 2\,\mathrm{cv}(p)$. Then p has a repelling fixed point.*

Sketch of proof. Let ξ be fixed point of p and let $\lambda = p'(\xi)$ be its multiplier. If ξ is attracting, that is, if $|\lambda| < 1$, then – by a standard result [23, §8] in complex dynamics – the attracting basin $\{z\colon p^n(z) \to \xi\}$ contains a critical value of p. If $\lambda = 1$, then ξ is a zero of $z \mapsto p(z) - z$ of multiplicity $m \geq 2$. Again by classical results [23, §10] in complex dynamics, there are $m - 1$ invariant domains where the iterates of p tend to ξ, and each such domain contains a critical value of p.

It follows from these considerations that, counting multiplicities, there are at most $2\,\mathrm{cv}(p)$ fixed points of p for which the multiplier λ satisfies $|\lambda| < 1$ or $\lambda = 1$. Let now N be the number of non-repelling fixed points. By perturbing p so that fixed points with multiplier λ satisfying $|\lambda| = 1$ but $\lambda \neq 1$ become attracting, one can show that also $N \leq 2\,\mathrm{cv}(p)$. Since p has $\deg(p)$ fixed points, the conclusion follows. □

We note that the perturbation needed to pass from $|\lambda| = 1$ to $|\lambda| < 1$ does not need the results of Shishikura [29] about quasiconformal surgery or the result of Douady and Hubbard [16] that polynomial-like maps are quasiconformally conjugate to polynomials. Instead, using interpolation one perturbs p to a polynomial q of higher degree. But viewed as a polynomial-like map on a suitable domain, the polynomial q has the same degree as p; see [5, p. 67] and [15, p. 54] for more details. The argument shows that Proposition 4.1 extends to polynomial-like maps.

To see how Proposition 4.1 can be applied to prove that composite polynomials have repelling fixed points we note that if p and q are polynomials, then

$$\mathrm{cv}(p \circ q) \leq \mathrm{cv}(p) + \deg(q) - 1 \leq \deg(p) + \deg(q) - 2$$

while

$$\deg(p \circ q) = \deg(p) \cdot \deg(q).$$

Proposition 4.1 yields that $p \circ q$ has a repelling fixed point if

$$\deg(p) \cdot \deg(q) > 2(\deg(p) + \deg(q) - 2),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\deg(p) - 2) \cdot (\deg(q) - 2) > 0.$$

We thus have the following corollary of Proposition 4.1.

Corollary 4.1. *Let p and q be polynomials of degree at least 3. Then $p \circ q$ has a repelling fixed point.*

Proposition 4.1 yields – under the hypotheses made – the existence of a repelling fixed point, but it does not give any further information about the multiplier of this fixed point. It seems plausible that if $2\,\mathrm{cv}(p)$ is much smaller than $\deg(p)$, then there should be a fixed point of large multiplier.

Denote by $\mathrm{Fix}(p)$ the set of fixed points of a polynomial p and let

$$\Lambda(p) = \max\{|p'(\xi)| : \xi \in \mathrm{Fix}(p)\}$$

be the maximum of the moduli of the multipliers of the fixed points of p.

Problem 1. Let p be a polynomial. Can one give a lower bound for $\Lambda(p)$ depending only on $\deg(p)$ and $\mathrm{cv}(p)$ such that $\Lambda(p) > 1$ if $\deg(p) > 2\,\mathrm{cv}(p)$?

As mentioned, one would expect that $\Lambda(p)$ is large if $\mathrm{cv}(p)$ is small compared to $\deg(p)$. In the extremal case that $\mathrm{cv}(p) = 1$ the polynomial p is conjugate to the polynomial $z \mapsto z^d$, with $d = \deg(p)$, and it follows that $\Lambda(p) = d$ in this case.

Problem 2. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Does there exist $\delta > 0$ such that if p is a polynomial satisfying $\mathrm{cv}(p) < \delta \deg(p)$, then $\Lambda(p) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)d$?

An answer to these questions could be helpful to give a lower bound for the multipliers of periodic points or fixed points of composite functions, comparable to (1.3) or (1.4), in the case that condition (1.1) is not satisfied.

In the context of iteration rather than composition we also have the following question [10, Conjecture C].

Problem 3. Let p be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ and let $n \geq 2$. Do we have $\Lambda(p^n) \geq d^n$?

The monomial $p(z) = z^d$ already considered shows that this would be best possible. Eremenko and Levin [18, Theorem 3] have shown that if p is a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ which is not conjugate to the monomial $z \mapsto z^d$, then $\Lambda(p^n) > d^n$ for some $n \geq 2$. The question is whether this holds for all $n \geq 2$.

Instead of considering the multipliers of all fixed points of p^n one may also restrict to those of periodic points of period n and study the corresponding modification of $\Lambda(p^n)$. The polynomials that fail to have repelling periodic points of some period were classified in [6, 14].

References

[1] L. V. Ahlfors, Zur Theorie der Überlagerungsflächen, Acta Math. 65 (1935), 157–194; Collected Papers, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Stuttgart, 1982, Vol. I, pp. 214–251.

[2] I. N. Baker, Repulsive fixpoints of entire functions, Math. Z. 104 (1968), 252–256.

[3] W. Bergweiler, On the fix-points of composite functions, Pacific J. Math. 143 (1990), 1–8.

[4] W. Bergweiler, Proof of a conjecture of Gross concerning fix-points, Math. Z. 204 (1990), 381–390.

[5] W. Bergweiler, Periodic points of entire functions: proof of a conjecture of Baker, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 17 (1991), 57–72.

[6] W. Bergweiler, Periodische Punkte bei der Iteration ganzer Funktionen, Habilitationsschrift, Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen, 1991.

[7] W. Bergweiler, Iteration of meromorphic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.) 29 (1993), 151–188.

[8] W. Bergweiler, A new proof of the Ahlfors five islands theorem, J. Anal. Math. 76 (1998), 337–347.

[9] W. Bergweiler, Quasinormal families and periodic points, in "Complex analysis and dynamical systems II", Contemp. Math., 382, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 55–63.

[10] W. Bergweiler, Normal families and fixed points of iterates, Sci. China Math. 53 (2010), 593–596.

[11] W. Bergweiler and A. Eremenko, On a property of the derivative of an entire function, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 37 (2012), 301–307.

[12] W. Bergweiler, P. J. Rippon and G. M. Stallard, Dynamics of meromorphic functions with direct or logarithmic singularities, Proc. London Math. Soc. 97 (2008), 368–400.

[13] W. Bergweiler, J. H. Zheng and X. Yao, Lyapunov exponents and related concepts for entire functions, arXiv: 1607.01271.

[14] J. Chang, Polynomials without repelling periodic point of given period, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 324 (2006), 1–13.

[15] A. Douady, Systèmes dynamiques holomorphes, Séminaire Bourbaki, 1982-1983, Exp. No. 599, Astérisque 105–106, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983, pp. 39–63.

[16] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard, On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 18 (1985), 287–343.

[17] A. Eremenko, Normal holomorphic curves from parabolic regions to projective spaces, arXiv: 0710.1281.

[18] A. E. Eremenko and G. M. Levin, Periodic points of polynomials (Russian), Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 41 (1989), no. 11, 1467–1471, 1581; translation in Ukrainian Math. J. 41 (1989), no. 11, 1258–1262 (1990).

[19] A. E. Eremenko and M. Yu. Lyubich, Dynamical properties of some classes of entire functions, Ann. Inst. Fourier 42 (1992), 989–1020.

[20] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.

[21] W. K. Hayman, The local growth of power series: a survey of the Wiman-Valiron method, Can. Math. Bull. (3) 17 (1974), 317–358.

[22] J. K. Langley and J. H. Zheng, On the fixpoints, multipliers and value distribution of certain classes of meromorphic functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 23 (1998), 133–150.

[23] J. Milnor, Dynamics in one complex variable, Vieweg, Braunschweig, Wiesbaden, 1999.

[24] R. Nevanlinna, Eindeutige analytische Funktionen, Springer, Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, 1953.

[25] Ch. Pommerenke, Normal functions, in "Proceedings of the NRL conference on classical function theory", U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1970, pp. 77–93.

[26] T. Ransford, Potential theory in the complex plane, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[27] P. J. Rippon and G. M. Stallard, Slow escaping points of meromorphic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), 4171–4201

[28] D. Schleicher, Dynamics of entire functions, in "Holomorphic dynamical systems", Lecture Notes Math. 1998, Springer, Berlin, 2010, pp. 295–339.

[29] M. Shishikura, On the quasi-conformal surgery of rational functions, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 20 (1987), 1–29.

[30] N. Steinmetz, Rational iteration, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.

[31] G. Valiron, Lectures on the general theory of functions, Édouard Privat, Toulouse, 1923.

Mathematisches Seminar
Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel
Ludewig-Meyn-Str. 4
24098 Kiel, Germany

Department of Applied Mathematics
South China Agricultural University
Guangzhou, 510642, P. R. China